

rogatory until after decision of the Motion to Dismiss, because consideration of the merits of allowing this expensive proposed discovery, is an expense to the parties and the Court that would be rendered unnecessary by a dismissal.

3. Hananel has also filed a Motion to Postpone Discovery (Dec. 15, 2005) which is pending before the Court, stating additional grounds for denying the motion for letters rogatory.

WHEREFORE, the Court is requested to deny the Motion of Adelson for letters rogatory (and request for international judicial assistance) to take the deposition of Louis Sou in Macau, China, without prejudice to its renewal following the entry of decision by this Court on Hananel's Motion to Dismiss for Forum Non Conveniens.

Respectfully submitted,
MOSHE HANANEL
By His Attorneys,

/s/ James A. G. Hamilton
James A. G. Hamilton (MA Bar # 218760)
PERKINS, SMITH & COHEN, LLP
One Beacon Street
Boston, MA 02108
617.854.4000